

Decision Session Executive Member for Transport

24 October 2019

Report of the Corporate Director of Economy & Place

2018 Annual Review of Traffic Regulation Order Requests

Summary

- 1. Approval is requested to advertise the amendments to the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) required to introduce the restrictions detailed in Annexes A to U. In addition, if there are no objections raised with regard to the above proposals, approval is requested to implement the amendments to the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO).
- 2. Approval is also requested for confirming the funding from the S.106 agreement for permits for a residents parking scheme close to the new Monks Cross stadium (Annex W).

Recommendations

- 3. It is recommended that the Executive Member:
 - Approves the recommended approach for each request as identified in Annexes A to U.
 - Considers objections received to the legal advertisement to the proposed amendments to the TRO's at a subsequent Decision Session.
- iii. Approves the implementation of any amendments to the TRO's if there are no objections raised in respect of the advertised changes.
 - Reason: To ensure that appropriate changes are made to traffic restrictions to address concerns raised by residents.
- iv. (Residents Parking associated with Monks Cross Stadium). Approve the use of S.106 agreement funding to cover permit costs for 2 years and the review the scheme before 2 years are up.
 - Reason: To mitigate the effects the stadium development may have on the local community.

Background

- 4. Requests for waiting restrictions or other changes to the TRO for minor traffic management issues are placed on a waiting list to be investigated and considered with a view to advertising proposals at the same time. The 2018 review process has taken longer than originally projected owing to the number of requests and other more pressing workload issues. Earlier this year we advertised proposals to amend the TRO for the simplest requests, 57 in total, on the waiting list under officer delegated powers. Items which did not receive any objections have now been implemented. 17 of the areas received objections and these are included in a separate report to the Executive Member for consideration and decision.
- 5. There are 77 requests considered in this report See Annex V for a full list. The attached Annexes A to U outline the requests received on a ward basis along with officers' recommended action where appropriate.
- Ward Councillors have received this information and been invited to comment for the report. Any comments received have been included within the Annex for that ward.
- 7. An additional item for consideration as part of this review is the residents parking proposal for the new Monks Cross stadium. The background is that the two streets closest to the new stadium off Jockey Lane (Forge Close and Saddlers Close, see Annex W) will likely be parked in on match days hence as part of the Stadium S.106 agreement funds have been allocated to implement restrictions. The proposal due to be taken forward is for a limited residents parking scheme that will only be in operation on match days. It is suggested that on this occasion the permits are issued at no cost to residents for a period of 2 years and the funding comes from the S.106 agreement. A review of the scheme will be carried out before the 2 years are up. These proposals are due to be advertised shortly along with a set of restrictions for New Lane. Any objections to either the residents parking or New Lane restrictions will be reported to the December Executive Member for Transport meeting.

Consultation

8. Subject to the recommendations in this report being approved the proposals to change the Traffic Regulation Orders will be advertised in the local press giving 3 weeks for people to make representations.

In addition, notices will be put up on street and the properties adjacent to the proposals sent details as they are the most likely to be affected.

- 9. All emergency services, haulier associations, Parish Councils and Ward Councillors receive details on advertisement.
- 10. Any objections received to the proposals will be brought back to a subsequent Executive Member Decision Session for a decision on how to proceed. If there are no objections raised with regards to the changes, it is recommended that approval is granted to implement the amendments to the Traffic Regulation Orders.

Options

- 11. The options available for each item are:
 - A. Approve the officers recommendation for proposals to be advertised, or not, for each location.
 - B. Defer the proposal for further information to be brought back to a subsequent Decision meeting.
 - C. Amend the proposal depending on circumstances.

Analysis

12. A number of non urgent requests for changes to the TRO are received each year. Typically, these are for additional "no waiting at any time" (double yellow line) restrictions or minor changes to Residents' Priority Parking (ResPark) Schemes. These requests are considered together on an annual basis; this saves officer time and money, because any changes can all be advertised at the same time, and helps to ensure parity of treatment. In each case site visits are carried out to determine to what extent there is a traffic management or safety problem. The proposals in Annexes A to U have been circulated to ward councillor's representatives for their comments. Any comments received have been included in the Annexes.

Council Plan

13. Considering this matter contributes to the Council Plan building strong communities by engaging with members of the local community.

Implications

14. **Financial** There are costs associated with the advertising and implementation of the proposals, these are estimated for each item in the Ward Annex. Cumulatively the cost of the proposed changes (including advertising costs) is approx. £35k (see Annex V).

Human Resources (HR) Any proposals which are implemented will require enforcement by the Council's Civil Enforcement Team in the same way as existing waiting restrictions.

Equalities There are no identified Equalities implications

Legal The proposals require amendments to the York Parking, Stopping and Waiting Traffic Regulation Order 2014: Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 & the Local Authorities Traffic Orders (procedure) (England & Wales) Regulations 1996 apply

Crime and Disorder There are no Crime and Disorder implications

Information Technology (IT) There are no IT implications identified

Property There are no Property implications identified

Other There are no other implications identified

Risk Management

15. In compliance with the Council's risk management strategy there is a low risk associated with the recommendations in this report.

Contact Details Author:	Chief Officer Responsible for the report:
Sue Gill	Neil Ferris
Traffic Projects Officer, Traffic Management Tel No. 01904 551497	Corporate Director of Economy and Place
	Report Date 15.10.19 Approved
Wards Affected:	AII 🗸

For further information please contact the author of the report

Background Papers: N/A

ANNEXES:

Annex A: Acomb Ward

Annex B: Bishopthorpe Ward

Annex C: Clifton Ward

Annex D: Copmanthorpe Ward

Annex E: Dringhouses and Woodthorpe Ward

Annex F: Fishergate Ward

Annex G: Fulford and Heslington Ward

Annex H: Earswick Village - High Garth

Annex I: Haxby and Wigginton Ward

Annex J: Heworth Ward

Annex K: Heworth Without Ward

Annex L: Holgate Ward

Annex M: Hull Road Ward

Annex N: Huntington and New Earswick Ward

Annex O: Micklegate Ward

Annex P: Osbaldwick and Derwent Ward

Annex Q: Rawcliffe and Clifton Without Ward

Annex R: Rural West York Ward

Annex S: Strensall Ward

Annex T: Westfield Ward

Annex U: Wheldrake Ward

Annex V: Summary list of locations with estimated costs

Annex W: Forge & Saddlers Cl. Location Plan

Annex X: Residents Parking Scheme Waiting List